

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/801,825 03/09/2001		Yasuhiko Kojima	P 276646	1431	
909	7590 10/08/2003		EXAMINER		
PILLSBURY WINTHROP, LLP			CARRILLO, BIBI SHARIDAN		
P.O. BOX 10: MCLEAN, V			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			1746		
			DATE MAILED: 10/08/2003	$\mathcal{U}$	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			•		0				
Office Action Summary		Application	No.	Applicant(s)	<del>-                                    </del>				
		09/801,825		KOJIMA ET AL.	1				
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Sharidan Ca	arrillo	1746					
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01.	August 2003							
2a)⊠		nis action is n							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposition of Claims									
•	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>11-16</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6) <u>⊠</u>	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) / // are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
8) $\boxtimes$ Claim(s) $1 - b$ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. $\int_{b}^{\infty} \gamma^{3}$ Application Papers									
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>									
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachment(s)									
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5	Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper Nor Patent Application (PT	· · · <del></del>				

Application/Control Number: 09/801,825

Art Unit: 1746

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for carboxylic acid, does not reasonably provide enablement for any cleaning gas containing a substance. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

The claims embrace an invention which contains any known cleaning gas, which could/can be selected from literally thousands. It does not appear to be feasible that any cleaning gas would function in the present invention. Further, for one skilled in the art to reproduce the present invention (which must be possible, if the specification is adequate), there would clearly be undue experimentation to do so in an attempt to figure out which cleaning gases work and which ones do not.

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-10 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1 and 9 are indefinite for the following reasons. In claims 1 and 9, it is unclear whether a) the piping, b) the exhaust, c) the chamber, or all of the following are

Application/Control Number: 09/801,825

Art Unit: 1746

connected to the vacuum pump. Claims 1 and 9 are further indefinite because it is unclear what is forming a metal complex. Specifically, does the metal film which may be stuck inside the surface of the chamber form a metal complex or does the metal component of the chamber form a metal complex with the vaporized cleaning agent. It is also unclear whether the metal film or a metal component of the chamber is being sublimed and exhausted from the chamber since it is unclear whether the vaporized cleaning agent is complexing with the metal film or a metal of the chamber. Claims 1 and 9 are further indefinite because the claims fail to positively recite a step of removing the metal film from the chamber of the film deposition equipment. It is also unclear whether metal is being removed from the treatment chamber. Claim 9 is further indefinite because it is a duplicative of claim 1.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1, 5-7, and 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Koide et al. (5993679).

In reference to claims 1 and 9, Koide et al. teach a method of cleaning metallic films within a thin film deposition apparatus by treating with a cleaning gas (hexafluoroacetylacetone) to complex the copper (col. 6, lines 30-65, col. 5, lines 25-40) and exhausting the gas via the exhaust system 14 (col. 7, lines 35-40). In reference to

Application/Control Number: 09/801,825

Art Unit: 1746

claim 5, refer to the abstract. In reference to claim 6, refer to col. 6, lines 35-65. In view of the indefiniteness of claim 7, refer to col. 5, lines 55-65. In reference to claim 10, refer to col. 6, lines 4-20.

## Response to Arguments

The rejections of the claims under 112, first and second paragraphs are maintained for the reasons recited above.

The rejection of the claims as being anticipated by Koide et al. is maintained. Applicant argues that Koide et al. teach a step of oxidizing metal within the chamber, which is not required by the instantly claimed invention. Applicant's arguments are unpersuasive since they are not commensurate in scope with the instantly claimed invention. Applicant's claim recites the term "comprising" which is open-ended to include additional process steps.

The rejection of the claims as being anticipated by Caputo et al. is withdrawn in view of the newly amended claims.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Art Unit: 1746

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

This application contains claims 11-16 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in Paper No. 7. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancelation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sharidan Carrillo whose telephone number is 703-308-1876. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 6:30am-3:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski can be reached on 703-308-4333. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7719 for regular communications and 703-308-7719 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Sharidan Carrillo Primary Examiner Art Unit 1746

bsc October 6, 2003

> SHARIDAN CARRILLO SHARIDAN CARRILLO SHARIDAN CARRILLO